Our mission is to conserve and restore Arboretum lands, advance restoration ecology and foster the land ethic. Yet, the Arboretum’s position makes it a “sink” for runoff from urban neighbors higher in the landscape. Once on our land, urban runoff becomes our responsibility, and we must manage it and prevent it from eroding natural areas and from polluting Arb wetlands and aquatic resources downstream (Lake Wingra, Lake Monona, and Madison’s E-Way).

Our first project to improve stormwater management (completed in 2005) concerned Marion Dunn Pond. Contractors dredged out the sediments that had accumulated from the upstream urban watershed. They added large rocks to stabilize banks and built new weirs to direct flows. But this project preceded our stormwater planning activities, thus our ideals for stormwater treatment (Box 1) were unfulfilled, and few of our principles were followed.

Because the Arboretum is required to upgrade its stormwater treatment facilities, and because many standard designs are at odds with Arboretum principles (Box 1), the Arboretum Storm Water Committee (hereafter, “the Committee”) has reviewed and rejected subsequent designs that were inconsistent with the principles. First, we rejected a 20-acre stormwater detention facility at Manitou Way. Contractors dredged the sediments that had accumulated from the upstream urban watershed. They added large rocks to stabilize banks and built new weirs to direct flows. But this project preceded our stormwater planning activities, thus our ideals for stormwater treatment (Box 1) were unfulfilled, and few of our principles were followed.

The Committee went back to work, asking how the need to treat stormwater could become a research effort that would test innovative methods using native vegetation, while enhancing infiltration and removing phosphorus, nitrogen and other contaminants from stormwater. We began by identifying the most critical “unknowns” (Box 2).

The Committee modified two project designs to incorporate research. First, we agreed that the channel leading to Secret Pond (Fig. 1–3) could be planted as a large experiment that would test the ability of native shrubs and non-woody plants to stabilize channel banks while reducing erosion. That approach fulfills the Arboretum’s mission to “advance restoration ecology” without compromising the need to convey stormwater to Secret Pond, where slower velocities will allow sediments to accumulate. Students in Botany 670 (“Adaptive Restoration Lab”) designed alternative plantings to help answer questions 1–3.
Next, the Committee addressed Pond 2, where the challenge was to reduce surface water inflows via infiltration beds while simultaneously improving water quality. Treatment and experimentation needed to occur at a large scale, and multiple factors needed to be tested for their ability to enhance infiltration. Either substrate properties or rooting characteristics of various plants (or both) might accelerate infiltration and/or water quality improvement. Engineers, ecologists and Botany 670 students addressed questions 4–8. The collective result was a plan to construct a Stormwater Management Research Facility (SMRF, nicknamed “smurf”; Fig. 4) that is consistent with the Arboretum research mission and likely to reduce the quantity and quality of surface water that flows downstream to Teal Pond, and increase infiltration to groundwater. Improving and reducing stormwater that overflows Pond 1 is next on our list, followed by Pond 4 (to be tackled by the Water Resources Management practicum in summer 2007). Innovative suggestions are welcomed by the Committee.

The need to improve stormwater facilities in the Arboretum has now established a precedent for future projects: The Arboretum is not just a place to dump stormwater. Our mission is to conserve and restore land, not convert it to stormwater detention and infiltration basins. Where we cannot avoid stormwater infrastructures, we can turn them into opportunities to learn how best to reduce the quantity and improve the quality of water that we discharge. At the same time, we will advance restoration ecology by experimenting with native vegetation and we will foster the land ethic by developing “green” alternatives that others can view and adopt.

Box 2: Questions to answer through research efforts.

1. Which native species can tolerate the flashy hydroperiods of stormwater inflows (i.e., highly pulsed flows interspersed with drying)?
2. Which shrubs and non-woody plants can anchor channel banks and reduce surface erosion?
3. Which plantings can resist invasion of buckthorn, reed canary grass and other pest plants or at least tolerate management tools (control burning of invasive shrubs, grass-specific herbicides)?
4. Which plants create root channels that convey water deep into the soil, enhancing infiltration?
5. Can infiltration and/or water quality be improved by creating soil surfaces that are topographically heterogeneous (relative to smooth surfaces)?
6. Will topographically heterogeneous surfaces support more native plant species?
7. What type of facility would foster research of faculty, students, and classes?
8. How can planners, engineers, regulators, and the broader range of visitors best learn from the Arboretum’s innovations in stormwater management?
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